Poster 24

Changing Antiretrovirals whilst Viral Load <50 copies/ml and **Relationship with CD4 Count Changes**

Ioanne Reekie Research department of infection and population health UCL Medical School, Royal Free Campus Rowland Hill Street, London, UK, NW3 2PF Tel: +44 (0)20 7830 2239 Fax: +44 (0)202 7472 6737 E-mail: j.reekie@ucl.ac.ukl

A Mocroft¹, J Reekie¹, C Katlama², A Lazzarin³, M Ristola⁴, H Sambatakou⁵, J Gasiorowski⁶, D Jevtovic⁷, O Kirk⁸ and J D Lundrgen⁸ for the EuroSIDA study group

¹University College London Medical School, London, UK; ²Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpétière, Paris, France; ³Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy; ⁴Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland; 51ppokration General Hospital, Athens, Greece; 6Medical University, Wroclaw ,Poland; 7The Institute for Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Belgrade, Serbia; 8Copenhagen HIV Programme, Copenhagen, Denmark

INTRODUCTION

The main goal of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) is to suppress viral replication to as low a limit as possible, currently 50 copies/ml. Treatment discontinuations after starting cART are common and often due to toxicity rather than treatment failure. The frequency and reasons for switching antiretrovirals (ARVs) in patients who are on a fully suppressed cART regimen is not well described, nor is the effect of such a change on CD4 count.

AIM

- To describe the rate and reason for switching antiretrovirals in patients on cART with a viral load (VL) < 50 copies/ml
- To investigate the change in CD4 count following such a switch

METHODS

- EuroSIDA patients included in this analysis were on cART, defined as a regimen containing exactly 2 nucleosides or nucleotides plus either a protease inhibitor (PI), boosted-PI, or non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)
- Patients were required to have a confirmed viral load < 50 copies/ml after starting cART and whilst still on cART and a CD4 count measured within 28 days of both the initial and confirmatory viral load measurement
- Baseline was defined as the date of the confirmed viral load
- A treatment switch was defined as any change in ARVs whilst VL< 50 copies/ml
- Kaplan Meier methods estimated the probability of ARV change
- Cox proportional hazards models identified factors associated with ARV change
- Mixed models modelled the change in CD4 count after the first ARV change
- Patients were followed up until the earliest of the VL increasing above 50 copies/ml (for any reason), the last viral load measurement (if all < 50 copies/ml), the second switch in cART or at stopping cART

RESULTS

- 7071 patients were included in the analysis, **table 1** gives the baseline characteristics.
- 1110 (15.7%) patients changed 1420 ARVs
- 100 (9%) of the patients switching ARVs added an ARV that they had taken previously
- 254 (22.9%) switched to a new class of ARVs at the date of the switch, 26 started a PI containing regimen and 228 a NNRTI containing regimen
- 12 months after baseline 9.8% (95% Cl 9.0-10.6%) of patients were estimated to have switched at least 1 ARV (figure 1)
- The incidence of switching was 11.2 per 100 person-years of follow-up (95% CI 10.5-11.8)
- 531 (37%) switches were due to toxicity and 440 (31%) due to patient/physician choice (table 2)
- There were significant differences in the reasons for stopping nucleosides (p<.0001), more patients stopped a stavudine containing nucleoside pair due to toxicites
- There were no differences in the reasons for stopping the third drug (single PI, boosted PI, or NNRTI) in the regimen (p=0.17)
- Those who switched ARVs had an additional annual increase in CD4 cell counts of 5.9/mm³ per year (95% CI 2.9-8.9mm3) compared to those who did not switch (figure 2)
- Patients who switched >1 ARV had an additional annual increase in CD4 cell count of 6.6/mm³ per year (95% CI 0.5-12.6/mm³) compared to those who switch 1 ARV (figure 2)

CONCLUSION

- Approximately 10% of patients with virologic suppression switched treatment every year
- This was most commonly due to toxicities or patient or physician wish, which will likely be strongly correlated with toxicities
- Patients who changed ARVs had a small but significant boost in CD4 levels, and the increase was

Table 1 Baseline Characteristics										
Baseline is first viral load <50 copies/ml whilst on cART										
				Switch		No switch				
All		N,% of total	1110	15.7	5961	84.3				
Gender	Male	N,%	843	76.0	4552	76.4	0.76			
Race	White	N,%	960	86.5	5137	86.2	0.78			
Exposure group	Homosexual	N,%	504	45.4	2654	44.5	0.50			
	IDU		205	18.5	1114	18.7				
	Heterosexual		304	27.4	1733	29.1				
Region of Europe	South	N, %	269	24.2	1699	28.5	0.0007			
	Central		274	24.7	1513	25.4				
	North		379	38.1	1670	28.0				
	East		155	14.0	881	14.8				
	Argentina		33	3.0	198	3.3				
Age		Median, IQR	41	36-49	41	35-48	0.11			
Baseline CD4 count (/mm³)		Median, IQR	408	278-579	419	275-589	0.65			
Nadir CD4 (/mm³)		Median, IQR	159	60-248	162	70-259	0.03			
Peak viral load (log ₁₀ copies)		Median, IQR	4.7	3.6-5.2	4.8	3.8-5.3	0.0005			
Baseline (month/year)		Median, IQR	7/03	2/00-9/05	9/03	1/00-9/05	<.0001			
Year since starting cART		Median, IQR	2.1	0.9-54	2.4	0.8-5.3	0.51			



Antiretroviral used at baseline, after switch and reasons for stopping											
				Reason							
	At baseline On afi switc		Total ARVs stopped	Patient/ physician choice	Toxicity	Treatment failure					
Nucleoside pair N (%)											
zdv/lam	2312 (33)	2175 (30)	292 (37)	107 (37)	85 (29)	6 (2)					
ddi/d4t	466 (7)	408 (6)	89 (11)	20 (22)	48 (54)	6 (7)					
d4t/3TC	1347 (19)	1242 (17)	141 (18)	42 (30)	73 (52)	1 (1)					
tdf+1	1587 (22)	1814 (26)	138 (17)	54 (39)	30 (22)	3 (2)					
abc+1	866 (12)	959 (13)	66 (8)	34 (52)	17 (26)						
other	493 (7)	473 (8)	70 (9)	31 (44)	14 (20)	3 (4)					
Third drug N (%)											
Single PI	2419 (34)	2150 (30)	399 (64)	96 (24)	159 (40)	6 (1)					
Boosted PI	1973 (28)	2041 (29)	125 (20)	31 (25)	52 (42)	1 (1)					
NNRTI	2679 (38)	2880 (41)	100 (16)	25 (25)	53 (53)	0					
Patients did not necessarily have to stop both ARVs in the nucleoside pair											

higher in those who started >1 new ARV

These results are potentially of most relevance to patients with low CD4 counts in whom cART had not induced optimal immunologic recovery



ustment for time since baseline, time since starting ARVs, age, date of baseline, risk group, gender, ethnic c ope, AIDS before baseline, HBsAg status, HCV antibody status, AIDS during prospective follow-up, nucleosis ga tbaseline, CD4 nadir, pæsk viremia before baseline and proportion of time prior to baseline with VL soc

Download poster at: www.cphiv.dk







